REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-14, 21-23, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US2005/0136903 to Kashima. Under 35 U.S.C. 102(e): "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

It is respectfully submitted that Kashima does not disclose each and every element set forth in claims 1, 10 and 25 as currently pending. It is respectfully submitted that at least the element of "said notification profile is selected by said microcomputer based on said string of text appearing in said calendar event" is neither expressly or inherently described in Kashima.

Kashima discloses a portable electronic device that includes a "detection means for detecting a context"; and a control means that produces an alert when a detected context corresponds to a stored trigger event. "Contexts" relate to contexts which can be derived from various detectors, including "time" of day (e.g. an local clock, see paragraph 21); "location" of the device (e.g. a global positioning system, see paragraph 22); and "proximity" to other devices (e.g. a radio frequency identification tag, see paragraph 23). See paragraph 20 of Kashima.

Of important note, the penultimate step of the method in Figure 2 of Kashima is "produce a reminder alert". That is to say that the correspondence of a context to a stored triggered event is intended to produce a "reminder alert". There is no reference in Kashima, either express or implicit, to a "text string" forming part of a trigger context. A "text string" can be defined in order to be part of the reminder alert, as per paragraph 35 of Kashima. But this is the only explicit reference to text strings. There is no teaching or suggestion in Kashima that a notification profile is selected (e.g. an alert tone, or absence thereof, for an incoming call) based on a "string of

text appearing in said calendar event," in accordance with claim 1 as currently pending. This feature is also present in independent claims 10 and 25 and accordingly it is respectfully submitted that Kashima cannot anticipate claims 10 and 25.

The Examiner rejected claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious citing Kashima in view of US 2005/0009547 to Harris. It is respectfully submitted that since claim 3 depends from claim 1, and claim 1 is now deemed allowable, the objection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is now moot.

It is respectfully submitted that Kashima does not disclose each and every element set forth in claim 5 as currently pending. It is respectfully submitted that at least the element of "said microcomputer is operable to execute said voicemail application and said electronic device includes a storage device for storing said communication when said communication is directed to said voicemail application." is neither expressly or inherently described in Kashima. Kashima discloses a portable electronic device with a user interface for providing an alert to a user. The user interface is used for providing reminders according to different trigger contexts. There is no teaching or suggestion in Kashima of a voicemail application as currently claimed in claim 5. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

As the remaining claims all depend other claims now deemed allowable, it is respectfully submitted that those remaining claims are also now deemed allowable.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that it has fully responded to the Examiner's concerns and that the claims are now in condition for immediate allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the claims.

Applicant hereby requests that any fee which may be required for the papers being filed with this letter be charged to, or any overpayment be credited to, Account No. 50-3750.

In the event that any PTO official wishes to discuss this application on the telephone, the call should be directed to the undersigned at $416-920-8170 \times 109$.

Respectfully submitted,

T. Andrew Currier
Agent for Applicants
Reaistration No. 45,400

Perry + Partners 1300 Yonge Street Suite 500 Toronto, Ontario Canada M4T 1X3

Tel: 416.920.8170 Fax: 416.920.1350